#### Case No. S275478

# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA

GEORGE GASCÓN, AS DISTRICT ATTORNEY, ETC. ET AL., Petitioners.

v.

THE ASSOCIATION OF DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS FOR LOS ANGELES COUNTY, Respondent.

After Partial Affirmance of a Grant of a Motion for Preliminary Injunction by the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, Division Seven. Case No. B310845

The Superior Court of California for the County of Los Angeles, Case No. 20STCP04250

# BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE 68 CURRENT AND FORMER ELECTED PROSECUTORS AND ATTORNEYS GENERAL IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONERS

MICHAEL ROMANO (CA Bar No. 232182) THREE STRIKES PROJECT, DIRECTOR STANFORD LAW SCHOOL 559 Nathan Abbott Way Stanford, CA 94305 (650) 736-8670 mromano@stanford.edu

he County of Los Angeles,
04250

RRENT AND FORMER
ATTORNEYS GENERAL
TIONERS

MIRIAM KRINSKY
(CA Bar No. 115956)
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
FAIR AND JUST PROSECUTION, A
PROJECT OF THE TIDES CENTER
1012 Torney Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94129
(818) 416-5218
mkrinsky@fairandjustprosecution.org

Attorneys for Amici Curiae 68 Current and Former Elected Prosecutors and Attorneys General

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Pa</u>	ıge
TABI	LE OF AUTHORITIES	3
INTE	CREST OF AMICI	8
ARGI	UMENT	11
I.	All prosecutors – including California District Attorneys – have well settled authority, free of court intervention, to make decisions that are fundamental to the allocation of scarce resources and the pursuit of justice	
II.	Meaningful criminal justice reform requires elected prosecutors to implement and enforce policies to supervise their line attorneys' exercise of discretion	e 23
III.	Second-guessing the policy decisions of the elected prosecutor undermines local control, invades clearly established separation of powers doctrine, and erodes the rights of voters to community self-governance	
CON	CLUSION	28
CERT	TIFICATE OF WORD COUNT	30
PROC	OF OF SERVICE	31
APPF	ENDIX – LIST OF AMICI	34

# TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

$\underline{\text{Page(s)}}$
Federal Cases
Berger v. United States, 295 U.S. 78 (1935)
McCleskey v. Kemp, 481 U.S. 279 (1987)
California Cases
In re Stoneroad, 215 Cal. App. 4th 596 (2013)
People v. Birks, 19 Cal. 4th 108 (1998)
People v. Garcia, 46 Cal. App. 5th 786 (2020)
Pitts v. County of Kern, 17 Cal. 4th 340 (1998)
Constitutional Provisions
Ca. Const. art. III, § 3
U.S. Const. art. I, § 1, art. II, § 1, art. III, § 1
California Statutes
Cal. Gov. Code § 26500
California Rules
Rule 8.520(f) of the California Rules of Court
Other Authorities
Association of Deputy District Attorneys, Association of Deputy District Attorney's Endorses Jackie Lacey for L.A. County District Attorney, <a href="https://www.laadda.com/association-of-deputy-district-attorneys-endorses-jackie-lacey-for-l-a-county-district-attorney/">https://www.laadda.com/association-of-deputy-district-attorneys-endorses-jackie-lacey-for-l-a-county-district-attorney/</a> .
Stephanos Bibas, <i>The Need for Prosecutorial Discretion</i> , 19 Temp. Pol. & Civ. Rts. L. Rev. 369 (2010), <a href="https://scholarship.law.upenn.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2428&amp;context=faculty_scholarship.">https://scholarship.law.upenn.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2428&amp;context=faculty_scholarship.</a> 24, 25

David Bjerk, Making the Crime Fit the Penalty: The Role of Prosecutorial Discretion Under Mandatory Minimum Sentencing, 48 J.L. & Econ. 591 (2005)
Kate Cagle, Former LAPD Chiefs Split Over Endorsement for District Attorney, Spectrum News (Oct. 19, 2020), <a href="https://spectrumnews1.com/ca/la-west/politics/2020/10/19/former-lapd-chiefs-split-over-endorsement-for-district-attorney">https://spectrumnews1.com/ca/la-west/politics/2020/10/19/former-lapd-chiefs-split-over-endorsement-for-district-attorney</a> 13
California Committee on the Revision of the Penal Code, Staff Memo (Sept. 10, 2020)
California Legislative Analyst's Office, A Primer: Three Strikes - The Impact After More Than a Decade (Oct. 2005), <a href="https://lao.ca.gov/2005/3">https://lao.ca.gov/2005/3</a> strikes/3 strikes 102005.htm 13
R. Michael Cassidy, (Ad)ministering Justice: A Prosecutor's Ethical Duty to Support Sentencing Reform, 45 Loyola Univ. of Chicago L.J. 981 (2014), <a href="https://www.luc.edu/media/lucedu/law/students/publications/llj/pdfs/vol45/issue4/Cassidy%20Cropped.pdf">https://www.luc.edu/media/lucedu/law/students/publications/llj/pdfs/vol45/issue4/Cassidy%20Cropped.pdf</a>
Center on Juvenile and Criminal Justice, 2016 Los Angeles and San Francisco prison incarceration rates, California Sentencing Institute, <a href="http://casi.cjcj.org/Adult/Los-Angeles">http://casi.cjcj.org/Adult/San-Francisco</a> 11
Abené Clayton, 92% black or Latino: the California laws that keep minorities in prison, The Guardian (Nov. 26, 2019), <a href="https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2019/nov/26/california-gang-enhancements-laws-black-latinos">https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2019/nov/26/california-gang-enhancements-laws-black-latinos</a> 21
County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Legal Policies Manual, §3.02.01
Death Penalty Information Center, <i>The Death Penalty in 2022</i> , <a href="https://deathpenaltyinfo.org/facts-and-research/dpic-reports/dpic-year-end-reports/the-death-penalty-in-2022-year-end-report">https://deathpenaltyinfo.org/facts-and-research/dpic-reports/dpic-year-end-reports/the-death-penalty-in-2022-year-end-report</a>
Bruce Frederick and Don Stemen, <i>The Anatomy of Discretion: An Analysis of Prosecutorial Decision Making</i> , Vera Institute of Justice (Dec. 2012),
https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/240335.pdf
George Gascón for District Attorney, On the Issues,

Bruce A. Green, Why Should Prosecutors "Seek Justice"?, 26 Fordham Urb. L.J. 607 (1999)
Peter W. Greenwood, et al., Three Strikes Revisited: An Early Assessment of Implementation and Effects, DRR-2 905-NIJ (Aug. 1998), <a href="https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/194106.pdf">https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/194106.pdf</a>
Joshua A. Jones, Assessing the Impact of "Three Strikes" Laws on Crime Rates and Prison Populations in California and Washington, 4 Inquiries J. 2 (2012), <a href="http://www.inquiriesjournal.com/articles/696/2/assessing-the-impact-of-three-strikes-laws-on-crime-rates-and-prison-populations-in-california-and-washington">http://www.inquiriesjournal.com/articles/696/2/assessing-the-impact-of-three-strikes-laws-on-crime-rates-and-prison-populations-in-california-and-washington</a>
Priya Krishnakumar and Iris Lee, <i>How George Gascón unseated L.A. County Dist. Atty. Jackie Lacey</i> , L.A. Times (Nov. 6, 2020), <a href="https://www.latimes.com/projects/2020-la-da-race-gascon-lacey-vote-analysis/">https://www.latimes.com/projects/2020-la-da-race-gascon-lacey-vote-analysis/</a>
Letter from California Legislative Black Caucus to CDCR Secretary Scott Kernan (July 17, 2019)
L.A. Times Editorial Board, Endorsement: George Gascón for L.A. County District Attorney, L.A. Times (Sept. 29, 2020), <a href="https://www.latimes.com/opinion/story/2020-09-29/endorsement-george-gascon-for-la-county-district-attorney">https://www.latimes.com/opinion/story/2020-09-29/endorsement-george-gascon-for-la-county-district-attorney</a>
James Madison, Federalist No. 51
Marc. L. Miller & Ronald F. Wright, <i>The Black Box</i> , 94 Iowa L. Rev. 125 (2008)
Michael Mueller-Smith, The Criminal and Labor Market Impacts of Incarceration, Working Paper (2015)
National Research Council, The Growth of Incarceration in the United States: Exploring Causes and Consequences, The National Academies Press (2014)
Daniel Nichanian, How George Gascón Wants to Reform Los Angeles and Achieve "The Lowest Level of Intervention," The Appeal Political Report (Jan. 9, 2020), <a href="https://theappeal.org/politicalreport/how-george-gascon-wants-reform-los-angeles-district-attorney-election/">https://theappeal.org/politicalreport/how-george-gascon-wants-reform-los-angeles-district-attorney-election/</a>
remormanos-sinvenes-district-sinornev-election/

Alex R. Piquero, J. David Hawkins, Lila Kazemian, and David Petechuk, Bulletin 2: Criminal Career Patterns (Study Group on the Transitions between Juvenile Delinquency and Adult Crime) (2013), <a href="https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/242932.pdf">https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/242932.pdf</a>
Jessica Pishko, How District Attorney Jackie Lacey Failed Los Angeles, The Appeal (Nov. 12, 2019), <a href="https://theappeal.org/how-district-attorney-jackie-lacey-failed-los-angeles/">https://theappeal.org/how-district-attorney-jackie-lacey-failed-los-angeles/</a> 11
James Queally, Effort to force L.A. Dist. Atty. George Gascón into recall election fails, L.A. Times (Aug. 15, 2022), <a href="https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2022-08-15/recall-effort-la-district-attorney-george-gascon-fails">https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2022-08-15/recall-effort-la-district-attorney-george-gascon-fails</a>
James Queally, How Jackie Lacey's and George Gascón's time in office shapes the L.A. County D.A.'s race, L.A. Times (Feb. 18, 2020), <a href="https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2020-02-18/district-attorney-election-jackie-lacey-george-gascon-race">https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2020-02-18/district-attorney-election-jackie-lacey-george-gascon-race</a>
William Rhodes, Gerald G. Gaes, Ryan Kling, and Christopher Cutler, Relationship Between Prison Length of Stay and Recidivism: A Study Using Regression Discontinuity and Instrumental Variables With Multiple Break Points, Criminology & Pub. Pol'y, Vol. 17 Issue 3 (2018), <a href="https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/1745-9133.12382">https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/1745-9133.12382</a>
Felicity Rose, et al., An Examination of Florida's Prison Population Trends, Crime and Justice Institute (May 2017), https://www.prisonlegalnews.org/media/publications/An_Exam ination of Floridas Prison Population Trends 2017.pdf 24
Roberto Scalese, Mass. High Court Sides With Suffolk DA Rollins In Battle With Judge Over Protester Charge, WBUR.org (Sept. 9, 2019), <a href="https://wbur.fm/2Elz1g6">https://wbur.fm/2Elz1g6</a>
David Schultz, No Joy in Mudville Tonight: The Impact of "Three Strike" Laws on State and Federal Corrections Policy, Resources, and Crime Control, 9 Cornell J.L. & Pub. Pol'y 557 (2000)
Jordan D. Segall, Robert Weisberg, and Debbie Mukamal, Life in Limbo: An Examination of Parole Release for Prisoners Serving Life Sentences with the Possibility of Parole in California, Stanford Criminal Justice Center (Sept. 2011),

https://law.stanford.edu/publications/life-in-limbo-an-
examination-of-parole-release-for-prisoners-serving-life-
sentences-with-the-possibility-of-parole-in-california/ 22
Stanford Three Strikes Project, Mental Illness Reduces Chances Of Three Strikes Sentence Reduction (2014) <a href="https://law.stanford.edu/press/mental-illness-reduces-chances-of-three-strikes-sentence-reduction/">https://law.stanford.edu/press/mental-illness-reduces-chances-of-three-strikes-sentence-reduction/</a>
Caitlin J. Taylor, Ending the Punishment Cycle by Reducing Sentence Length and Reconsidering Evidence-Based Reentry Practices, 89 Temp. L. Rev. 747 (2017), <a href="https://www.templelawreview.org/lawreview/assets/uploads/2017/08/Taylor-89-Temp-LRev747.pdf">https://www.templelawreview.org/lawreview/assets/uploads/2017/08/Taylor-89-Temp-LRev747.pdf</a>
Michael Tonry, The Mostly Unintended Effects of Mandatory Penalties: Two Centuries of Consistent Findings, in Michael Tonry, ed., Crime and Justice: A Review of Research, vol. 38 (2009)
University of California – Riverside, <i>Three-strikes law fails to reduce crime</i> , Phys.org (Feb. 28, 2012), <a href="https://phys.org/news/2012-02-three-strikes-law-crime.html">https://phys.org/news/2012-02-three-strikes-law-crime.html</a>
Urban Institute, A Matter of Time: The Causes and Consequences of Rising Time Served in America's Prisons (2017), <a href="http://apps.urban.org/features/long-prison-terms/about.html">http://apps.urban.org/features/long-prison-terms/about.html</a>
Vera Institute of Justice, Incarceration Trends in Texas (Dec. 2019), <a href="https://www.vera.org/downloads/pdfdownloads/state-incarceration-trends-texas.pdf">https://www.vera.org/downloads/pdfdownloads/state-incarceration-trends-texas.pdf</a>
Allison Young, <i>The Facts on Progressive Prosecutors</i> , Center for American Progress (Mar. 19, 2020), <a href="https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/criminal-justice/reports/2020/03/19/481939/progressive-prosecutors-reforming-criminal-justice/">https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/criminal-justice/</a> <a href="https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/criminal-justice/">justice/reports/2020/03/19/481939/progressive-prosecutors-reforming-criminal-justice/</a>

#### INTEREST OF AMICI

Pursuant to Rule 8.520(f) of the California Rules of Court, Amici Curiae, 68 current and former elected prosecutors and Attorneys General, file this brief in support of District Attorney George Gascon's challenge to the Court of Appeal's issuance of a writ of mandamus, compelling him to plead and prove prior strikes in every eligible case under the Three Strikes Law.

As elected prosecutors and Attorneys General past and present, amici have a deep understanding of the important role that prosecutorial discretion plays in the criminal justice system, and we are extremely concerned that the Court of Appeal's ruling in this case undermines, in unprecedented fashion, the longstanding constitutional authority, autonomy, and responsibility of elected prosecutors.

We know of no other precedent or law in the country where prosecutors are forced to file and prove enhancements or special penalty provisions over their expressed objections. Indeed, the essence of the Court's ruling and its interpretation of the three strikes law threatens the very core of the prosecutor's well settled discretion and role as an elected official, while eroding the separation between branches of government that are essential to a well-functioning, healthy democracy. This ruling undermines the essential building blocks upon which our legal system is built, and therefore, will erode public trust along with it.

Prosecutors are elected and sworn to uphold the law and protect public safety, and District Attorney ("DA") Gascón's policies at issue here do just that. No prosecutor has the ability and resources to prosecute *every* case and *every* violation of the law – nor should they. As such, it is well settled that elected prosecutors make decisions about where and how limited resources are best exercised and what cases merit entry into the justice system.

A prosecutor's broad discretion over whom to prosecute, what offenses to charge, and what proof to present, also encompasses the ability to determine what penalties and sentence to seek, and whether to pursue available sentencing enhancements or special penalty provisions, in order to best protect community safety and advance justice. The exercise of this discretion is especially critical when proving an enhancement or eligibility for a special penalty provision requires the office to expend limited and precious resources. This authority to decide what to plead and prove is enshrined in separation of powers principles included in most state constitutions, including California's, and their federal counterpart. Furthermore, an elected district attorney must be able to guide the exercise of discretion by his deputies and the use of inherently limited criminal justice resources through transparent and straightforward policies. Indeed, the district attorney is elected by the community to do exactly that – and is accountable to the voters for those decisions.

Because the issues raised by this case have national significance, amici come not only from California, but also from jurisdictions across the country. Although amici's views may differ as to when and if a particular sentencing enhancement or alternate penalty provision should be sought, amici come together in our steadfast belief that an elected prosecutor cannot effectively carry out his or her constitutional responsibilities if he or she cannot ensure employees implement officewide policies and is, instead, forced to charge and prove offenses and seek penalties that, in the elected prosecutor's judgment, do not advance public safety or serve the interests of justice. Amici are also intimately familiar with the challenges of effectively and efficiently running an office in times of limited resources, as well as transforming office culture and ideas about justice; these challenges require decisions and leadership by the elected office head and clear instructions that guide deputy discretion and avoid disparate results based on the views and happenstance of the individual prosecutor in the case. For all of these reasons, we are deeply troubled by the Los Angeles Association of Deputy District Attorneys' use of the court to usurp the power of the elected district attorney and override the lawful, discretionary policy decisions of an official who was chosen by the voters of Los Angeles to transform the criminal justice system in that community.

We are also deeply troubled by the appellate court's problematic interpretation of the three strikes laws in a manner that dictates prosecutorial charging and pleading practices. This

reasoning will result in a host of problems and uncertainty around fundamental questions of prosecutorial discretion. This Court now has an important opportunity to offer clarity and resolve these important issues.

For all these reasons, amici have an interest in preserving the proper roles and responsibilities in the criminal legal system, both between the elected official and his deputies, and between the elected official and the judiciary. We offer our views here respectfully as friends of the Court.

A full list of amici is attached as an Appendix.

#### ARGUMENT

Los Angeles County, which has more than 10 million residents, is home to the nation's largest local criminal justice system. Prior to the 2020 election, the then-District Attorney in Los Angeles implemented a number of "tough-on-crime" policies, seeking harsh sentences, including the death penalty and gang enhancements, and opposed many criminal justice reform efforts. As a direct result of these policies, Los Angeles County's prison incarceration rate was well above the state average, and over five times as high as that of San Francisco.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Jessica Pishko, *How District Attorney Jackie Lacey Failed Los Angeles*, The Appeal (Nov. 12, 2019), <a href="https://theappeal.org/how-district-attorney-jackie-lacey-failed-los-angeles/">https://theappeal.org/how-district-attorney-jackie-lacey-failed-los-angeles/</a>.

<sup>2</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> In 2016, Los Angeles County's prison incarceration rate was 608 per 1,000 felony arrests. The statewide average was 446. San Francisco County's rate was 131. See Center on Juvenile and Criminal Justice, 2016 Los Angeles and San Francisco prison incarceration rates, California Sentencing Institute,

In 2020, Los Angeles voters elected George Gascón, the former District Attorney of San Francisco County. Gascón has long been committed to reforming the criminal justice system, reducing incarceration, and focusing on public safety rather than punishment for its own sake. During his campaign, Gascón was open and transparent about his vision for the office and the changes to prosecutorial practices he intended to implement. These reforms included ending death penalty prosecutions, the use of money bail, and the criminalization of mental illness and homelessness,<sup>4</sup> as well as curtailing lengthy prison sentences and the use of sentencing enhancements and alternate penalty provisions. These objectives are all consistent with the boundaries of the legal system and the sound exercise of prosecutorial discretion. Indeed, most prosecutors in America have elected to end the use of the death penalty, regardless of political affiliation, 6 and many prosecutors simply do not allocate resources to seeking jail or prison time for low-level offenses.

http://casi.cjcj.org/Adult/Los-Angeles and http://casi.cjcj.org/Adult/San-Francisco.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> George Gascón for District Attorney, *On the Issues*, <a href="https://www.georgegascon.org/on-the-issues/">https://www.georgegascon.org/on-the-issues/</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Daniel Nichanian, How George Gascón Wants to Reform Los Angeles and Achieve "The Lowest Level of Intervention," The Appeal Political Report (Jan. 9, 2020), <a href="https://theappeal.org/politicalreport/how-george-gascon-wants-reform-los-angeles-district-attorney-election/">https://theappeal.org/politicalreport/how-george-gascon-wants-reform-los-angeles-district-attorney-election/</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Death Penalty Information Center, *The Death Penalty in 2022*, <a href="https://deathpenaltyinfo.org/facts-and-research/dpic-reports/dpic-year-end-reports/the-death-penalty-in-2022-year-end-report">https://deathpenaltyinfo.org/facts-and-research/dpic-reports/dpic-year-end-reports/the-death-penalty-in-2022-year-end-report</a> (finding that 2022 was the eighth consecutive year with fewer than 50 new death sentences).

The Los Angeles community elected Gascón, over opposition by the Association of Deputy District Attorneys ("ADDA"), to carry out these promises and bring a new vision to the Los Angeles criminal legal system. Upon taking office, DA Gascón immediately sought to reform a number of long-standing prosecutorial practices in his office – practices that research shows had not only ballooned California's incarcerated population, but also offered little if any benefit to public safety.

https://lao.ca.gov/2005/3\_strikes/3\_strikes\_102005.htm (reporting)

that three strikes law increased jail and prison populations,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See, e.g., L.A. Times Editorial Board, Endorsement: George Gascón for L.A. County District Attorney, L.A. Times (Sept. 29, 2020) https://www.latimes.com/opinion/story/2020-09-29/endorsement-george-gascon-for-la-county-district-attorney; Kate Cagle, Former LAPD Chiefs Split Over Endorsement for District Attorney, Spectrum News (Oct. 19, 2020), https://spectrumnews1.com/ca/la-west/politics/2020/10/19/formerlapd-chiefs-split-over-endorsement-for-district-attorney; Association of Deputy District Attorneys, Association of Deputy District Attorney's Endorses Jackie Lacey for L.A. County District Attorney, https://www.laadda.com/association-of-deputy-districtattorneys-endorses-jackie-lacey-for-l-a-county-district-attorney/. <sup>8</sup> See, e.g., Joshua A. Jones, Assessing the Impact of "Three" Strikes" Laws on Crime Rates and Prison Populations in California and Washington, 4 Inquiries J. 2 (2012), http://www.inquiriesjournal.com/articles/696/2/assessing-theimpact-of-three-strikes-laws-on-crime-rates-and-prisonpopulations-in-california-and-washington (summarizing studies showing that three strikes laws did not have any positive impact on crime rates); University of California – Riverside, Threestrikes law fails to reduce crime, Phys.org (Feb. 28, 2012), https://phys.org/news/2012-02-three-strikes-law-crime.html (reporting that three strikes law has not decreased the incidence of violent crime); California Legislative Analyst's Office, A Primer: Three Strikes - The Impact After More Than a Decade (Oct. 2005),

In fact, according to the FBI's Uniform Crime Report and population data, between 2012 and 2018, violent crime rates in Los Angeles County increased by 31%. Ultimately there is no research that shows sentencing enhancements or alternate penalty provisions improve public safety, but there is evidence that excessive sentences increase recidivism and therefore create more victims in the future. 10

Voters elected DA Gascón to reverse these trends, and his policies are based in empirical evidence and designed to advance public safety, community health, and equal justice throughout Los Angeles. Among the new policies were directives that sought to curtail the use of several sentencing enhancements and alternate penalty provisions, including those that are among California's most notorious, draconian, and racially disparate penalties – gang enhancements, mandatory life sentences, and "three strikes" provisions. Association of Deputy District Attorneys for Los Angeles County v. Gascón, 79 Cal.App.5th 503, 514 (2022). These penalties have also shown little public safety benefit, while draining much needed legal, judicial, police, jail, and state prison resources. Under these directives, the office still held people who

lengthened prison terms, increased age of prisoners, increased racial disparities and cost the state 500 million dollars per year during the first 10 years after enactment but had no clear impact on crime rates or public safety).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> James Queally, How Jackie Lacey's and George Gascón's time in office shapes the L.A. County D.A.'s race, L.A. Times (Feb. 18, 2020) <a href="https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2020-02-18/district-attorney-election-jackie-lacey-george-gascon-race">https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2020-02-18/district-attorney-election-jackie-lacey-george-gascon-race</a>.

<sup>10</sup> Michael Mueller-Smith, The Criminal and Labor Market Impacts of Incarceration, Working Paper (2015).

caused harm accountable, but the elected DA opted not to seek decades-long sentences that put people in prison for longer than necessary.

Through this litigation, some of Gascón's employees have asked the courts for permission to defy their new boss. 11 But it is Gascón, as the elected District Attorney, who is responsible for policy decisions within the office and accountable to voters, not his line prosecutors. See Cal. Gov. Code § 26500 ("The public prosecutor shall attend the courts, and within his or her discretion shall initiate and conduct on behalf of the people all prosecutions for public offenses."). By affirming – at least in part - the Superior Court's ruling, the Court of Appeal disrupted purely prosecutorial functions, interfered with Gascón's administration within the District Attorney's office, invaded the well-settled discretion of elected prosecutors, threatened principles of separation of powers, and thwarted the will of the Los Angeles County electorate. This type of judicial interference in the discretionary policy decisions of an elected prosecutor is unprecedented, strips the District Attorney of the inherent powers of his office, and deprives Los Angeles voters of the leadership and policy agenda they embraced at the polls. Indeed, we could not find another case in California where courts have

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Because the Association of Deputy District Attorneys for Los Angeles County filed this action, rather than any actual deputies themselves, how many of Gascón's employees support the current litigation and agree in full with its position and the many policies it challenges is unclear. See Association of Deputy District Attorneys for Los Angeles County v. Gascón, 79 Cal.App.5th 503, 514-15 (2022).

overridden a prosecutor's decision *not* to file charges, sentence enhancements, or file a request for application of alternate penalty provisions, and held that the opposite is true – that in every case, prosecutors are compelled to seek, file, and prove them.

Amici, a group of current and former elected prosecutors from across the country, file this brief to add their voices to this important issue and to underscore their view that the lower court's order is intrusive, harmful, and undermines the exercise of prosecutorial discretion that is inherent in the responsibility of any elected prosecutor and critical to the functioning of our justice system.

I. All prosecutors – including California District Attorneys – have well settled authority, free of court intervention, to make decisions that are fundamental to the allocation of scarce resources and the pursuit of justice

"The capacity of prosecutorial discretion to provide individualized justice is firmly entrenched in American law." *McCleskey v. Kemp*, 481 U.S. 279, 311–12 (1987) (internal quotations omitted). Prosecutors exercise discretion on whether to charge cases, what charges and penalties to pursue, and what plea bargains to offer. As this Court has held, district attorneys are "given complete authority" to enforce the state criminal law in their counties. *Pitts v. County of Kern*, 17 Cal. 4th 340, 358 (1998) (citation and punctuation omitted); *see also* Cal. Gov. Code § 26500. Because a district attorney has discretion on whom to

charge in the first instance, the district attorney's authority "is even stronger" when choosing among various punishments to seek: "The decision of what charges to bring (or not to bring) — and, more to the point here, which sentencing enhancement to allege (or not to allege) — belongs to the prosecutors who are charged with executing our state's criminal law." *People v. Garcia*, 46 Cal. App. 5th 786, 791 (2020); *see also People v. Birks*, 19 Cal. 4th 108, 129 (1998) ("the prosecution, the traditional charging authority, has broad discretion to base its charging decisions on all the complex considerations pertinent to its law enforcement duties."). Further, "the prosecutor's decision not to charge a particular enhancement 'generally is not subject to supervision[.]" *Id.* The same must hold true with respect to decisions about alternate penalty provisions.

The independence of the prosecutor is inherent in the separation of powers enshrined in both the United States and California Constitutions, and dates back to the founding of our country. U.S. Const. art. I, § 1, art. II, § 1, art. III, § 1; Ca. Const. art. III, § 3; see also J. Madison, Federalist No. 51. That separation – between the legislature's ability to create laws, the executive (and therefore the prosecutor's) decision whether to plead and prove them, and the judiciary's ability to sentence – is critical to the functioning of our democracy, as the founding fathers clearly realized. Any decision to allocate the plead and prove authority to another branch, and thereby mandate prosecutorial actions that necessarily impact office policy and the

use of limited resources, cuts to the core of our system of governance.

An elected prosecutor's duty is to utilize their discretion to pursue justice and protect public safety. See Berger v. United States, 295 U.S. 78, 88 (1935) (A prosecutor "is the representative not of an ordinary party to a controversy, but of a sovereignty whose obligation to govern impartially is as compelling as its obligation to govern at all; and whose interest, therefore, in a criminal prosecution is not that it shall win a case, but that justice shall be done."). 12 In individual cases, the prosecutor has "a heightened duty to ensure the fairness of the outcome of a criminal proceeding from a substantive perspective – to ensure both that innocent people are not punished and that the guilty are not punished with undue harshness."13 But seeking justice requires much more than fair play or a proportionate outcome in the context of a single case or trial. An elected prosecutor also has a duty as a "minister[] of justice' to go beyond seeking convictions and legislatively authorized sentences in individual cases, and to think about the delivery of criminal justice on a systemic level,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> See also Marc. L. Miller & Ronald F. Wright, *The Black Box*, 94 Iowa L. Rev. 125, 148 (2008) (noting that elected prosecutors must make charging and sentencing decisions that respond to the evolving public conceptions of justice. "Current public opinion constantly rewrites the terms of a criminal code drafted by legislatures over many decades.").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Bruce A. Green, Why Should Prosecutors "Seek Justice"?, 26 Fordham Urb. L.J. 607, 636 (1999).

promoting criminal justice policies that further broader societal ends."  $^{14}$ 

Inherent in this larger duty to the public is the prosecutor's obligation to spend limited criminal justice resources efficiently to protect the safety and well-being of the community. <sup>15</sup> No prosecutor has the resources and ability to prosecute every violation of the law, nor would doing so promote public safety or be an effective use of public resources. Instead, elected prosecutors – empowered by their community to carry out the duties of that job – make decisions every day about where and how limited resources are best expended, what cases merit entry into the justice system, and what charges and penalties to seek when the case does warrant criminal prosecution.

Considerations about justice, promoting the best interests of individuals and the community, and resource allocation necessarily impact decisions regarding policy, charging, and plea bargaining. Prosecutors may, for example, choose to charge crimes with lesser penalties if those offenses are easier to prove or are more equitable given dispositions offered to other codefendants. At other times, they may charge lesser crimes because of mitigating circumstances or trial challenges unique to the case, or because the conduct, though it may meet the technical requirements of a more serious charge, is less

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> R. Michael Cassidy, (Ad)ministering Justice: A Prosecutor's Ethical Duty to Support Sentencing Reform, 45 Loyola Univ. of Chicago L.J. 981, 983 (2014),

https://www.luc.edu/media/lucedu/law/students/publications/llj/pdfs/vol45/issue4/Cassidy%20Cropped.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> *Id*. at 996.

blameworthy than is typical. The same is true with alternate penalty provisions, sentencing enhancements, or mandatory prison terms. A prosecutor may decide an extreme punishment is counterproductive, unnecessary, or unjust. Or he or she may choose to focus his or her office's energies elsewhere – more severe penalties often carry additional burdens of proof and an additional workload that a prosecutor may determine is not an effective use of resources.

In the 1990s and 2000s, our nation saw a proliferation of sentencing schemes authorizing extreme and severe penalties for a range of offenses and individuals. <sup>16</sup> These laws played an oversized role in dramatically expanding the number of people we imprison and the length of time we hold them. <sup>17</sup> As with charging decisions in general, however, different prosecutors applied these laws in divergent ways. <sup>18</sup> Some sought enhanced or alternate

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Urban Institute, A Matter of Time: The Causes and Consequences of Rising Time Served in America's Prisons (2017), <a href="http://apps.urban.org/features/long-prison-terms/about.html">http://apps.urban.org/features/long-prison-terms/about.html</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Id.; Caitlin J. Taylor, Ending the Punishment Cycle by Reducing Sentence Length and Reconsidering Evidence-Based Reentry Practices, 89 Temp. L. Rev. 747, 750 (2017), <a href="https://www.templelawreview.org/lawreview/assets/uploads/2017/08/Taylor-89-Temp-L.-Rev.-747.pdf">https://www.templelawreview.org/lawreview/assets/uploads/2017/08/Taylor-89-Temp-L.-Rev.-747.pdf</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Cassidy, *supra* note 15, at 988 (noting that mandatory sentencing laws have not achieved uniformity in sentencing, but instead shifted sentencing discretion and authority to prosecutors who can reduce or dismiss the charge or penalty); Michael Tonry, *The Mostly Unintended Effects of Mandatory Penalties: Two Centuries of Consistent Findings*, in Michael Tonry, ed., Crime and Justice: A Review of Research, vol. 38 (2009) at 67-68 (mandatory minimum sentencing schemes did not produce uniform results because prosecutors sidestepped severe penalties in some but not all cases); David Bjerk, *Making the Crime Fit the* 

penalties and mandatory minimum terms with enthusiasm, using their discretion to broaden the impact of harsh and punitive legislation. <sup>19</sup> Others leveraged these severe punishments only in rare cases, if at all. <sup>20</sup> The use of the three strikes law by California's District Attorney's offices has been no different. <sup>21</sup>

Perhaps most troubling, marginalized and underserved communities have been disproportionately affected by sentencing enhancements and alternate penalty provisions in California. For instance, over 80 percent of prisoners serving certain sentence

Penalty: The Role of Prosecutorial Discretion Under Mandatory Minimum Sentencing, 48 J.L. & Econ. 591, 594 (2005).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> See David Schultz, No Joy in Mudville Tonight: The Impact of "Three Strike" Laws on State and Federal Corrections Policy, Resources, and Crime Control, 9 Cornell J.L. & Pub. Pol'y 557, 575 (2000) (in general, prosecutors in more populous California counties were less likely to pursue strikes, while smaller counties filed them more often).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Id.; see also Peter W. Greenwood, et al., Three Strikes Revisited: An Early Assessment of Implementation and Effects, DRR-2 905-NIJ (Aug. 1998), vi,

https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/194106.pdf (noting that different counties utilized three strikes law differently and that, for example, under the original version of the "three strikes" law, in Alameda County "only serious felonies are prosecuted under the three-strikes law. Other counties apply the law less selectively.").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Id.; see also County of Los Angeles District Attorney's Legal Policies Manual, §3.02.01 (March 12, 2020) ("In all instances in which a third strike case is pursued as a second strike case, Penal Code § 667.5(b) priors shall be plead and proved or admitted only when the priors are for sexually violent offenses as defined in Welfare and Institution Code § 6600(b).").

enhancements are people of color.<sup>22</sup> Over 90 percent of people serving a gang enhancement in California are Black or Latino.<sup>23</sup> The Three Strikes law in particular has been applied disproportionately against Black defendants and people experiencing mental illness.<sup>24</sup>

Furthermore, the most robust empirical evidence concerning criminal punishment, including research from the National Research Council and National Academy of Sciences, reveals quickly diminishing public safety returns from long prison sentences, such as those imposed under Three Strikes and sentencing enhancement laws.<sup>25</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> See California Committee on the Revision of the Penal Code, Staff Memo (Sept. 10, 2020), at 7,

http://www.clrc.gov/DRPC/Pub/Memos/CRPC20-11.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Abené Clayton, 92% black or Latino: the California laws that keep minorities in prison, The Guardian (Nov. 26, 2019), <a href="https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2019/nov/26/california-gang-enhancements-laws-black-latinos">https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2019/nov/26/california-gang-enhancements-laws-black-latinos</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> See Letter to from California Legislative Black Caucus to CDCR Secretary Scott Kernan (July 17, 2019); see also Stanford Three Strikes Project, Mental Illness Reduces Chances Of Three Strikes Sentence Reduction (2014)

https://law.stanford.edu/press/mental-illness-reduces-chances-of-three-strikes-sentence-reduction/. This case presents an opportune moment to help undo these decades of damage.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> National Research Council, The Growth of Incarceration in the United States: Exploring Causes and Consequences, The National Academies Press (2014); Alex R. Piquero, J. David Hawkins, Lila Kazemian, and David Petechuk, Bulletin 2: Criminal Career Patterns (Study Group on the Transitions between Juvenile Delinquency and Adult Crime) (2013),

https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/242932.pdf; William Rhodes, Gerald G. Gaes, Ryan Kling, and Christopher Cutler, Relationship Between Prison Length of Stay and Recidivism: A Study Using Regression Discontinuity and Instrumental

Today, around the country, communities are retreating from these and other "tough on crime" policies that have driven mass incarceration by electing prosecutors with a new vision for our justice system. <sup>26</sup> These prosecutors recognize that overly punitive approaches undermine public safety and community trust. They are making evidence-based decisions around when, and if, to exercise their tremendous power to pursue criminal charges or seek harsh sentences. This shift in perspective in no way justifies or permits judicial interference with the will of the voters or the exercise of the discretion that is fundamental to the prosecutorial function.

II. Meaningful criminal justice reform requires elected prosecutors to implement and enforce policies to supervise their line attorneys' exercise of discretion

Variables With Multiple Break Points, Criminology & Pub. Pol'y, Vol. 17 Issue 3 (2018),

https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/1745-9133.12382; Jordan D. Segall, Robert Weisberg, and Debbie Mukamal, Life in Limbo: An Examination of Parole Release for Prisoners Serving Life Sentences with the Possibility of Parole in California, Stanford Criminal Justice Center (Sept. 2011), https://law.stanford.edu/publications/life-in-limbo-an-examination-of-parole-release-for-prisoners-serving-life-sentences-with-the-possibility-of-parole-in-california/; see also In re Stoneroad, 215 Cal. App. 4th 596, 634 (2013) ("criminality . . . declines drastically after age 40 and even more so after age 50."). <sup>26</sup> Allison Young, The Facts on Progressive Prosecutors, Center for American Progress (Mar. 19, 2020),

https://www.americanprogress.org/issues/criminal-justice/reports/2020/03/19/481939/progressive-prosecutors-reforming-criminal-justice/.

An abundance of data and empirical evidence illustrates that the exercise of discretion across offices yields startlingly different criminal justice outcomes, even between offices within the same state and governed by the same laws. <sup>27</sup> These patterns are largely attributable to "prosecutors responding to social norms and living up to group expectations about what it means to be a prosecutor in that particular office." <sup>28</sup> Elected prosecutors play a critical role in forming —and reforming — these office norms. <sup>29</sup> Office-wide policies, enacted by the elected prosecutor and consistent with the public's sense of justice, play a critical role in communicating and changing the governing culture in an office. <sup>30</sup> "Policy priorities in the office... might not result from any

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> See, e.g., Center on Juvenile and Criminal Justice, supra note 3; Vera Institute of Justice, Incarceration Trends in Texas (Dec. 2019), <a href="https://www.vera.org/downloads/pdfdownloads/state-incarceration-trends-texas.pdf">https://www.vera.org/downloads/pdfdownloads/state-incarceration-trends-texas.pdf</a> (reporting that "the highest rates of prison admissions [in Texas] are in rural counties, and pretrial detention continues to increase in smaller counties even as it is on the decline in larger counties"); Felicity Rose, et al., An Examination of Florida's Prison Population Trends, Crime and Justice Institute (May 2017) at 12,

https://www.prisonlegalnews.org/media/publications/An\_Examination of Floridas Prison Population Trends 2017.pdf (reporting that trends in prison admissions rates vary widely by jurisdiction in Florida, from a low of 55 per 100,000 residents to a high of 612.7).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> Miller & Wright, *supra* note 13, at 131.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> *Id.* at 178; Stephanos Bibas, *The Need for Prosecutorial Discretion*, 19 Temp. Pol. & Civ. Rts. L. Rev. 369, 373 (2010), <a href="https://scholarship.law.upenn.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=242">https://scholarship.law.upenn.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=242</a> &&context=faculty scholarship.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> *Id.* at 374; see also Bruce Frederick and Don Stemen, *The Anatomy of Discretion: An Analysis of Prosecutorial Decision Making*, Vera Institute of Justice (Dec. 2012) at 15,

actual change in the criminal law, but they palpably change the norms that define what prosecutors are expected to do."31

But these policies can do little to shift norms if they are not enforceable. A DA's ability to ensure adherence to his or her vision of justice, especially when seeking to change the culture of an office, is largely dependent on whether line prosecutors are required to comply with office guidelines.<sup>32</sup> While some employees may feel a moral obligation to comply with a new approach, others will not, particularly when those new policies conflict with previous norms in the office.

Here, the ADDA has balked at the DA's efforts to guide the discretion of deputy district attorneys. The lower court and Court of Appeal intervened, invalidating a range of DA-approved directives addressing sentencing, enhancements, and alternate penalty provisions (not simply the DA's new three strikes policy). In so doing, it substantially undermined the elected DA's ability to manage and bring meaningful change to the office; changes that were embraced by the voters of Los Angeles through the democratic process.

https://www.ncjrs.gov/pdffiles1/nij/grants/240335.pdf (a study of decision-making by line prosecutors revealed that "norms and policies" limiting discretion are the "contextual factor with the most direct impact on prosecutorial decision making.").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Miller & Wright, supra note 13, at 178.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Bibas, *supra* note 30, at 371 (elected prosecutors must "create a culture, structures, and incentives within prosecutors' offices so that prosecutors use their discretion consistently and in accord with the public's sense of justice").

# III. Second-guessing the policy decisions of the elected prosecutor undermines local control, invades clearly established separation of powers doctrine, and erodes the rights of voters to community self-governance

It should not escape the court's attention that, though presented as a purported issue of legality and prosecutorial ethics, this suit is simply an attempt by the ADDA to harness the authority of the court system to prevent DA Gascón from making policy decisions with which the deputies do not agree. Association of Deputy District Attorneys for Los Angeles County v. Gascón, 79 Cal.App.5th 503, 518-19 (2022). Similarly, the Superior and Court of Appeal's intervention here set a dangerous precedent, as these Courts decided how elected prosecutors should utilize their office's resources and allowed the Association (which opposed Gascon's election) and unelected line prosecutors to strip the elected District Attorney of his autonomy authority as head of the office. The decision also necessarily eroded the rights of local voters to have a say in that vision.

District Attorneys, not their deputies, are directly accountable to the people and community they serve. These officials lay out their visions for public safety and in seeking office define their enforcement priorities. Local residents and voters choose the leader that best reflects and furthers their vision for the justice system in *their* community. If District Attorneys fail to adhere to promises made, or if the public decides it disapproves of them, they will inevitably be voted out of office.

In Los Angeles, the current District Attorney was elected with more than 1.6 million votes<sup>33</sup> on a platform of reformminded and less punitive approaches to a variety of conduct, including serious offenses previously punished with extreme prison terms. During the campaign, District Attorney Gascón specifically noted his reluctance to utilize sentencing enhancements and alternate penalty provisions or to regularly seek prison sentences in excess of fifteen years.<sup>34</sup> The voters of Los Angeles embraced those goals. Once he took office and implemented clear policies to further those objectives, some old guard employees who do not share his vision mutinied. Some of them supported an attempted recall, which failed to even qualify for the ballot.<sup>35</sup> And now they are asking the Courts to permit them to do what they have been unable to achieve in the democratic process and to effectively override the will of the Los Angeles electorate.

Unfortunately, the Superior Court and the Court of Appeal sided with the rebelling ADDAs. The integrity of the elections process, and the prosecutorial function writ large, underscore the need for this Court to reverse the Court of Appeal's flawed decision.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Priya Krishnakumar and Iris Lee, *How George Gascón unseated L.A. County Dist. Atty. Jackie Lacey*, L.A. Times (Nov. 6, 2020), <a href="https://www.latimes.com/projects/2020-la-da-race-gascon-lacey-vote-analysis/">https://www.latimes.com/projects/2020-la-da-race-gascon-lacey-vote-analysis/</a>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Nichanian, *supra* note 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> James Queally, Effort to force L.A. Dist. Atty. George Gascón into recall election fails, L.A. Times (Aug. 15, 2022), https://www.latimes.com/california/story/2022-08-15/recall-effort-la-district-attorney-george-gascon-fails.

#### CONCLUSION

The Court of Appeal's decision overrides the will of the voters and allows judges to substitute their judgment for that of an executive elected official when it comes to policy decisions and enforcement priorities. Such a decision cannot stand.

Tellingly, courts never interfered with prosecutorial discretion when that discretion was being used to ramp up prison and jail populations and fuel "tough on crime" thinking and mass incarceration. It is particularly troubling that, now, as reformminded prosecutors are being elected in cities and counties across the country, some courts are attempting to intervene in prosecutorial decisions they perceive as too lenient.<sup>36</sup> Such intervention is not only at odds with well-settled prosecutorial discretion and the separation of powers doctrine, it also usurps local control and runs counter to the growing consensus across the political spectrum about the need to reverse the course of mass incarceration. Here, the Los Angeles community chose a District Attorney who promised to do exactly that – to bring a new vision of how to allocate resources and promote public safety to the office. The Court of Appeal's holding threatens that community vision and sets a dangerous precedent by permitting

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> For example, where a judge tried to compel former Suffolk County (Boston), Massachusetts District Attorney Rachael Rollins to prosecute a protester case, the Massachusetts Supreme Judicial Court promptly overruled the decision. See Roberto Scalese, Mass. High Court Sides With Suffolk DA Rollins In Battle With Judge Over Protester Charge, WBUR.org (Sept. 9, 2019), <a href="https://wbur.fm/2Elz1g6">https://wbur.fm/2Elz1g6</a>.

intrusion into discretion uniquely vested in our nation's elected prosecutors. As such, we urge this Court to reverse that decision.

Dated: April 24, 2023

/s/ Miriam Krinsky
MIRIAM KRINSKY
(CA Bar No. 115956)
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
FAIR AND JUST PROSECUTION, A PROJECT
OF THE TIDES CENTER
1012 Torney Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94129
(818) 416-5218
mkrinsky@fairandjustprosecution.org

/s/ Michael Romano
MICHAEL ROMANO
(CA Bar No. 232182)
THREE STRIKES PROJECT, DIRECTOR
STANFORD LAW SCHOOL
559 Nathan Abbott Way
Stanford, CA 94305
(650) 736-8670
mromano@stanford.edu

Counsel for Amici Curiae

# CERTIFICATE OF WORD COUNT

The undersigned counsel certifies that pursuant to Rule 8.520(c) of the California Rules of Court, the text of this brief was produced using 13-point Century Schoolbook font and contains 5,147 words. Counsel relies on the word count of the computer program used to prepare this brief.

Dated: April 24, 2023

/s/ Michael Romano
Michael Romano
Counsel for Amici Curiae

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

I declare that I am employed with Three Strikes Project at Stanford Law School, whose address is 559 Nathan Abbott Way, Stanford, CA, in Santa Clara County. I am not a party to this case. I am over the age of 18 years. I further declare that on this date, I served a copy of the foregoing Brief of Amici Curiae 68 Current and Former Elected Prosecutors and Attorneys General, using TrueFiling, to the following parties.

COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER AND RESPONDENT, ASSOCIATION OF DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEYS FOR LOS ANGELES COUNTY:

Eric M. George
egeorge@egcfirm.com
David J Carroll
dcarroll@egcfirm.com
Ellis George Cipollone O'Brien Annaguey LLP
2121 Avenue of the Stars, 30/F
Los Angeles, CA 90067

Christopher Landau
<a href="mailto:clandau@egcfirm.com">clandau@egcfirm.com</a>
Chase Harrington
<a href="mailto:charrington@egcfirm.com">charrington@egcfirm.com</a>
Ellis George Cipollone O'Brien Annaguey LLP
1155 F Street N.W. Suite 750
Washington, DC 20004

COUNSEL FOR APPELLANT, GEORGE GASCÓN, DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF LOS ANGELES COUNTY: Robert E. Dugdale

rdugdale@kbkfirm.com

Laura W. Brill

lbrill@kbkfirm.com

Kendall Brill & Kelly LLP
10100 Santa Monica Blvd

Suite 1725

Los Angeles, CA 90067

Dawyn Harrison
<a href="mailto:dharrison@counsel.lacounty.gov">dharrison@counsel.lacounty.gov</a>
Adrian Gerard Gragas
<a href="mailto:agragas@counsel.lacounty.gov">agragas@counsel.lacounty.gov</a>
Jonathan Crothers McCaverty
<a href="mailto:jmccaverty@counsel.lacounty.gov">jmccaverty@counsel.lacounty.gov</a>
Office of County Counsel
500 W. Temple St.

Los Angeles, CA 90012

Stephanie Yonekura <u>stephanie.yonekura@hoganlovells.com</u> Hogan Lovells 1999 Avenue of The Stars, Suite 1400 Los Angeles, CA 90067

Neal Katyal
neal.katyal@hoganlovells.com
Jo-Ann Sagar
jo-ann.sagar@hoganlovells.com
Danielle Stempel
danielle.stempel@hoganlovells.com
Hogan Lovells
555 Thirteenth Street NW
Washington, DC 20004

COUNSEL FOR THE ATTORNEY GENERAL: Office of the Attorney General State of California docketinglaawt@doj.ca.gov

# 300 S. Spring Street, 1st Floor Los Angeles, CA 90013

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct. Executed at Stanford, CA, this 24th day of April, 2023.

<u>/s/ Susan Champion</u>
Susan Champion
Deputy Director
Three Strikes Project
Stanford Law School

# APPENDIX - LIST OF AMICI

#### Diana Becton

District Attorney, Contra Costa County, California

# Wesley Bell

Prosecuting Attorney, St. Louis County, Missouri

# Buta Biberaj

Commonwealth's Attorney, Loudoun County, Virginia

# **Sherry Boston**

District Attorney, DeKalb County, Georgia

#### Chesa Boudin

Former District Attorney, City and County of San Francisco, California

# **Alvin Bragg**

District Attorney, New York County (Manhattan), New York

# Aisha Braveboy

State's Attorney, Prince George's County, Maryland

# **Douglas Chin**

Former Attorney General, Hawaii Former Lieutenant Governor, Hawaii

## John Choi

County Attorney, Ramsey County (St. Paul), Minnesota

# Dave Clegg

District Attorney, Ulster County, New York

#### Shameca Collins

District Attorney, 6th Judicial District, Mississippi

#### Laura Conover

County Attorney, Pima County (Tucson), Arizona

## Satana Deberry

District Attorney, Durham County, North Carolina

# Parisa Dehghani-Tafti

Commonwealth's Attorney, Arlington County and the City of Falls Church, Virginia

#### Steve Descano

Commonwealth's Attorney, Fairfax County, Virginia

# Michael Dougherty

District Attorney, 20th Judicial District (Boulder), Colorado

# Mark Dupree

District Attorney, Wyandotte County (Kansas City), Kansas

#### **Matt Ellis**

District Attorney, Wasco County, Oregon

#### Ramin Fatehi

Commonwealth's Attorney, City of Norfolk, Virginia

# Kimberly M. Foxx

State's Attorney, Cook County (Chicago), Illinois

#### Glenn Funk

District Attorney, Nashville, Tennessee

# Gil Garcetti

Former District Attorney, Los Angeles County, California

#### **Kimberly Gardner**

Circuit Attorney, City of St. Louis, Missouri

#### Stan Garnett

Former District Attorney, 20th Judicial District (Boulder), Colorado

#### Sarah F. George

State's Attorney, Chittenden County (Burlington), Vermont

# Sim Gill

District Attorney, Salt Lake County, Utah

## **Terry Goddard**

Former Attorney General, Arizona

#### **Deborah Gonzalez**

District Attorney, Western Judicial Circuit (Athens), Georgia

#### Eric Gonzalez

District Attorney, Kings County (Brooklyn), New York

## **Christian Gossett**

Former District Attorney, Winnebago County, Wisconsin

# Scott Harshbarger

Former Attorney General, Massachusetts

Former District Attorney, Middlesex County, Massachusetts

# Jim Hingeley

Commonwealth's Attorney, Albemarle County, Virginia

# Elizabeth K. Humphries

Commonwealth's Attorney, City of Fredericksburg, Virginia

# Natasha Irving

District Attorney, 6th Prosecutorial District, Maine

#### Justin F. Kollar

Former Prosecuting Attorney, County of Kaua'i, Hawaii

# Lawrence S. Krasner

District Attorney, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

#### Rebecca Like

Prosecuting Attorney, County of Kaua'i, Hawaii

#### Brian S. Mason

District Attorney, 17th Judicial District, Colorado

#### Leesa Manion

Prosecuting Attorney, King County (Seattle), Washington

# Beth McCann

District Attorney, 2<sup>nd</sup> Judicial District (Denver), Colorado

#### Karen McDonald

Prosecuting Attorney, Oakland County, Michigan

# **Ryan Mears**

Prosecuting Attorney, Marion County (Indianapolis), Indiana

# **Stephanie Morales**

Commonwealth's Attorney, Portsmouth, Virginia

# J. Tom Morgan

Former District Attorney, DeKalb County, Georgia

# **Mary Moriarty**

County Attorney, Hennepin County (Minneapolis), Minnesota

# Steve Mulroy

District Attorney, Shelby County (Memphis), Tennessee

# Jody Owens

District Attorney, Hinds County (Jackson), Mississippi

#### Alonzo Payne

Former District Attorney, 12th Judicial District (San Luis), Colorado

#### Jim Petro

Former Attorney General, Ohio

#### Joseph Platania

Commonwealth's Attorney, City of Charlottesville, Virginia

#### Harold F. Pryor

State Attorney, 17th Judicial Circuit (Fort Lauderdale), Florida

#### **Ira Reiner**

Former District Attorney, Los Angeles County, California Former City Attorney, Los Angeles, California

## Stephen Rosenthal

Former Attorney General, Virginia

#### Marian Ryan

District Attorney, Middlesex County, Massachusetts

# **Jacqueline Sartoris**

District Attorney, Cumberland County (Portland), Maine

# Daniel T. Satterberg

Former Prosecuting Attorney, King County (Seattle), Washington

#### Eli Savit

Prosecuting Attorney, Washtenaw County (Ann Arbor), Michigan

# Mike Schmidt

District Attorney, Multnomah County (Portland), Oregon

#### Brian L. Schwalb

Attorney General, District of Columbia

#### Carol Siemon

Former Prosecuting Attorney, Ingham County (Lansing), Michigan

# **Eric Sparr**

District Attorney, Winnebago County, Wisconsin

#### David Sullivan

District Attorney, Northwestern District, Massachusetts

# **James Tierney**

Former Attorney General, Maine

#### Suzanne Valdez

District Attorney, Douglas County (Lawrence), Kansas

# Matthew Van Houten

District Attorney, Tompkins County, New York

# Cyrus R. Vance

Former District Attorney, New York County (Manhattan), New York

#### **Lynneice Washington**

District Attorney, Jefferson County, Bessemer District, Alabama

#### Jared Williams

District Attorney, Augusta, Georgia